

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 033275-316 3862 10/002,141 12/05/2001 Alexander Beeck EXAMINER 7590 12/21/2004 VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M Robert S. Swecker BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 3745

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			CV.
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,141	BEECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Verdier	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 (<u>October 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			•
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) 5) \(\bigcup \text{ Notice of Informal F} \) 6) \(\bigcup \text{ Other: } \(\bigcup_{-} \).	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3745

Applicants' Amendment dated October 13, 2004 has been carefully considered but is deemed non-persuasive. Claims 1 and 3-5 are pending.

With regard to Applicants' arguments that amended claim 1 defines over Ohtomo 4,992,026 (figures 1-2), these arguments have been carefully considered and are agreed with. Applicants are thanked for their detailed analysis of Ohtomo. However, amended claim 1, which has been amended to recite a coolant passage comprising at least one curved flow section, with a second passage branching off the coolant passage and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section, now reads on figure 4 of Ohtomo '026, as set forth later below

Applicants' arguments that amended claim 1 defines over Glezer 5,603,606, Japanese Patent 64-66,401, and Lee 5,797,726, and the brochure "Air-Cooling of Gas Turbine Blades" have been carefully considered and are agreed with. Applicants are thanked for their detailed analysis of these references. However, Glynn 6,206,638, Liotta 5,902,093, Sidenstick 3,628,885, and Kercher 3,533,711 disclose the features of amended claim 1, including a coolant passage comprising at least one curved flow section, with a second passage branching off the coolant passage and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section, as set forth later below.

Examiner's Suggestion to Claim Language

The following is a suggestion to improve the clarity and precision of the claims:

In claim 1, line 10, "and the second passage" (first occurrence) may be deleted, because the claim is written so that the inspection aperture and the second passage are the same element.

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohtomo 4,992,026 (figure 4). Note the component 10 of a fluid flow machine, comprising a coolant passage 50, 52 comprising at least one curved flow section near 66, with a second passage 62 (the topmost passage adjacent pins 64) comprising an inspection aperture arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage, with the second passage branching off the coolant passage at the curved flow section and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section. The component is a rotating blade for a turbine, with the inspection aperture being arranged in the neighborhood of the blade tip. The inspection aperture is arranged at the blade tip and has its longitudinal axis essentially parallel to the horizontal axis of the fluid flow machine. The recitation in claim 1, lines 8-10 of the inspection aperture being arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage is not considered to define over Ohtomo, because these limitations are a function of the size the borescope, and the size of the borescope

Art Unit: 3745

would determine whether or not it would be able to be introduced into the inspection aperture. A miniaturized borescope have a tiny diameter would be capable of being introduced into the inspection aperture.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glynn 6,206,638 (figure 3). Note the component 12 of a fluid flow machine, comprising a coolant passage 40 comprising at least one curved flow section near 37A, with a second passage 59 comprising an inspection aperture arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage, with the second passage branching off the coolant passage at the curved flow section and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section. The component is a rotating blade for a turbine, with the inspection aperture being arranged in the neighborhood of the blade tip. The inspection aperture is arranged at the blade tip and has its longitudinal axis essentially perpendicular to the horizontal axis of the fluid flow machine. The recitation in claim 1, lines 8-10 of the inspection aperture being arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage is not considered to define over Glynn, because these limitations are a function of the size the borescope, and the size of the borescope would determine whether or not it would be able to be introduced into the inspection aperture. A miniaturized borescope have a tiny diameter would be capable of being introduced into the inspection aperture.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liotta 5,902,093 (figure 2). Note the component 10 of a fluid flow machine, comprising a coolant

Art Unit: 3745

passage 40e, 40g comprising at least one curved flow section near 40f, with a second passage 44a comprising an inspection aperture arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage, with the second passage branching off the coolant passage at the curved flow section and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section. The component is a rotating blade for a turbine, with the inspection aperture being arranged in the neighborhood of the blade tip. The inspection aperture is arranged at the blade tip and has its longitudinal axis essentially perpendicular to the horizontal axis of the fluid flow machine. The recitation in claim 1, lines 8-10 of the inspection aperture being arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage is not considered to define over Liotta, because these limitations are a function of the size the borescope, and the size of the borescope would determine whether or not it would be able to be introduced into the inspection aperture. A miniaturized borescope have a tiny diameter would be capable of being introduced into the inspection aperture.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sidenstick 3,533,711 (figure 4). Note the component 33 of a fluid flow machine, comprising a coolant passage 42, 43 comprising at least one curved flow section near 54, with a second passage 53 comprising an inspection aperture arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage, with the second passage branching off the coolant passage at the curved flow section and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section. The component is a rotating blade for a turbine, with the inspection aperture being arranged in the neighborhood of the blade tip. The inspection aperture is arranged

Art Unit: 3745

at the blade tip and has its longitudinal axis essentially perpendicular to the horizontal axis of the fluid flow machine. The recitation in claim 1, lines 8-10 of the inspection aperture being arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage is not considered to define over Sidenstick, because these limitations are a function of the size the borescope, and the size of the borescope would determine whether or not it would be able to be introduced into the inspection aperture. A miniaturized borescope have a tiny diameter would be capable of being introduced into the inspection aperture.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kercher 3,628,885 (figure 2). Note the component 12 of a fluid flow machine, comprising a coolant passage 58 comprising at least one unnumbered curved flow section, with a second passage 76 comprising an inspection aperture arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage, with the second passage branching off the coolant passage at the curved flow section and arranged as a tangent to the curved flow section. The component is a rotating blade for a turbine, with the inspection aperture being arranged in the neighborhood of the blade tip. The inspection aperture is arranged at the blade tip and has its longitudinal axis essentially perpendicular to the horizontal axis of the fluid flow machine. The recitation in claim 1, lines 8-10 of the inspection aperture being arranged and dimensioned to enable the introduction of a borescope through the inspection aperture and the second passage is not considered to define over Kercher, because these limitations are a function of the size the borescope, and the size of the borescope would

Art Unit: 3745

determine whether or not it would be able to be introduced into the inspection aperture. A miniaturized borescope have a tiny diameter would be capable of being introduced into the inspection aperture.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V.

December 13, 2004

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745 Page 8